

Mr Hager's book, its publicised contents and the publisher's assessment that its sale would offend the interim injunction order, the plaintiff needs to be able to respond to the allegations contained, or perceived to be contained, in the book, and for this purpose to be able to procure the book and to respond to its contents. Counsel advises that the plaintiff has reached the conclusion that to do so it would be necessary for him to seek to have the interim injunction orders lifted.

[2] Counsel notes that Mr Hager was not a party to the proceeding but that counsel can advise the Court that Mr Hager wishes to have the interim injunction and related orders discharged.

[3] In view of the plaintiff's request, I have reached the view that the appropriate course is for the orders made on 16 November 2006 to be discharged forthwith. I accordingly order that those orders be rescinded. Documents lodged with the Court in accordance with those orders may immediately be uplifted from the Registrar by the persons who have lodged them.

[4] There have been two applications to rescind the interim injunction and related orders. A hearing of those applications had been scheduled for 28 and 29 November 2006. Any consequential matters can be addressed at that time if necessary. Counsel should confer with a view to advising the Registrar whether a fixture will be necessary, and the estimated duration.

“A D MacKenzie J”

Solicitors

Duncan Cotterill, Wellington, for the plaintiff

C F Bradley, Auckland, for CanWest TVWorks Ltd